

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/522,085	03/10/2000	Douglas S. Foote	9137.00	5683	
75	90 12/16/2003		EXAMINER		
Michael Chan PWU, JEFF		FREY C			
NCR Corporation 101 West Schar			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ECD-2			3628		
Dayton, OH 4	5479-0001		DATE MAILED: 12/16/200	AILED: 12/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	*					
,	09/522,085	FOOTE ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jeffrey Pwu	3628						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	ss					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. on the mailing date of this commu NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			erits is					
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 15-29</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 15-29</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-1	52.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Applicative documents have been received. I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive copiority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ation No ived in this National Starved. 9(e) (to a provisional ap	plication)					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 9	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152						

Art Unit: 3628

DETAILED ACTION

Abstract

1. A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-8 and 15-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the best mode contemplated by the inventor has not been disclosed. Evidence of concealment of the best mode is based upon the disclosure that the applicant does not describe both the "identifying" and "modifying" steps to satisfy the enablement standard for each aspect of the invention.

 See In re Naquin, 398 F.2d 863, 866, 158 USPQ 317, 319 CCPA 1968)

Applicant's specification must enable a person skilled in the art to make and use the claimed invention without undue experimentation. The fact that experimentation is complex, however, will not make it undue if a person of skill in the art typically engages in such complex experimentation. For a computer-related invention, the disclosure must enable a skilled artisan to configure the computer to possess the requisite functionality,

Art Unit: 3628

and, where applicable, interrelate the computer with other elements to yield the claimed invention, without the exercise of undue experimentation. The specification should disclose how to configure or retrofit or modify an ATM or SST to possess the requisite functionality or how to integrate the programmed computer with other elements of the invention, unless a skilled artisan would know how to do so without such disclosure. See, e.g., Dossel, 115 F.3d at 946-47, 42 USPQ2d at 1884-85; Northern Telecom v. Datapoint Corp., 908 F.2d 931, 941-43, 15 USPQ2d 1321, 1328-30 (Fed. Cir.1990)

- 4. Claims 1-8 and 15-29 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claimed invention is not supported by either specific and substantial asserted utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know to use the claimed invention. Particularly in the identifying step, modifying step, and/or retrofitting step(s).
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-8 and 15-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Art Unit: 3628

applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1, for example, it is unclear what is applicant's invention, is it identifying an ATM... or modifying an ATM... to receive wireless retrofit.

Applicant's claims must set out and circumscribe the invention with a reasonable degree of precision and particularity. Applicant's claims, interpreted in light of the disclosure, must reasonably apprise a person of ordinary skill in the art of the invention. In re Dossel, 115 F.3d 942, 946, 42 USPQ2d 1881, 1884 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

7. Claims 1-8 and 15-29 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is vague and indefinite and unclear of the terms "modifying" and "retrofitting".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3628

9. Claim 1-8 and 15-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Suer</u> et al. (US 6,431,439) in view of <u>Terranova</u> et al. (US 2001/0045457).

10. Claims 1-8 and 15-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Suer teaches a method and an apparatus comprising:

ridentifying an ATM (SST) which has a screen for displaying options for withdrawing cash and a touch input mechanism for receiving user commands; and modifying said ATM to enable it to receive from a wireless telephone user commands for dispensing cash (col.3, line 54-col.4, line 43; 63-fig.2A; col.13, lines 17-40);

➤ wherein said transceiver is further adapted to transmit signals directly to said wireless telephone (col.4, lines 8-65).

wherein said signals implement local wireless communication (col.13, lines 17-col.4, line 17);

➤ wherein said ATM is connected to a network for communication therebetween, and wherein said modifying step includes providing a connection between said network and said wireless device (col.13, lines 17-col.4, line 17);

➤ wherein said modifying step includes retrofitting the ATM with a transceiver adapted to receive signals directly from the wireless telephone (fig.6, steps 161-162);

➤ wherein the transceiver is connectable to a system bus of a computer within the ATM (col.4, lines 30-42);

➤a self-service terminal having been retrofitted to allow the terminal to execute transactions entered using a wireless telephone, wherein said terminal did not allow

Page 6

Art Unit: 3628

transactions to be entered using a wireless telephone prior to retrofitting (abstract; col.1, lines 13-15; col.3, lines 9-16, 41-50, 55-67, col.4, lines 8-15, 30-53, and col.13, lines 20-23);and

➤ a self-service terminal having been retrofitted to receive a transaction from an authorized source external to the terminal, wherein said terminal did not allow transactions to be entered using a wireless telephone prior to retrofitting (abstract; col.1, lines 13-15; col.3, lines 9-16, 41-50, 55-67, col.4, lines 8-15, 30-53, and col.13, lines 20-23).

However, Suer fails to show a wireless phone to withdraw cash from an ATM.

It is well know in the art to retrofit any controllable electronic device with a wireless transponder/receiver to control the device.

Terranova is applied for showing that is is well know in art to use wireless technology, e.g. cell phones, palm pilots, & etc. to control a fule pump/banking system by modifying an existing electonic controls with wireless communications between a dispenser or associated communications system and a remote communication unit or transponder carried by a person or mounted to a vehicle to control the system.

It would have been obvious to a person having skill in the art at the time of the invention to equip an ATM that dose not have the remote control communication system by using Suer's device to communicate via a transponder/receiver as taught by Terranova to conduct business wirelessly.

Page 7

Art Unit: 3628

Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 and 15-29 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Pwu whose telephone number is (703) 308-7835

14 December 2003

JEFFREY PWU PRIMARY EXAMINER